

THE TRIAL OF DR. BUCHANAN

SOMETHING OF A SENSATION CREATED BY THE DEFENSE.

Mr. Brooke asks three questions. They are: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?" "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?" "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

There was something of a sensation in the courtroom yesterday when Farmer Martin was called to the witness stand. He was an officer of the Union Music Company, which business was managed, and in whose office, 65 Eighth street, much of the history of this case was developed.

In the morning a son of Farmer Martin was a witness. He had been a driver of a milk wagon for Macomber. He is a rosy-cheeked, honest-eyed, country-bred fellow, who testified in a manner that impressed everybody favorably. The story was not of very great importance, and the defense did not attempt to disturb it in cross-examination. Mr. Brooke asked him only one question:

"Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

Mr. Brooke asked him only one question: "Did you ever hear of Dr. Buchanan?"

CONSULS' FALSE VOUCHERS.

EX-CONSUL HEATH SAYS THE SERVICE IS FULL OF THIEVERY.

And that Dr. St. Clair kept Friends of His in Office Who, if the Laws Were Enforced, Would Be Serving Terms in State Prison.

Since the removal of Dr. F. O. St. Clair from the head of the Consular Bureau of the Department of State without any explanation, a variety of stories have been told or printed regarding the reasons for his removal. Dr. St. Clair's friends have steadfastly insisted that politics was the sole cause, and that his removal was inexcusable, because his service of twenty-eight years in the office made him invaluable. Charles Heath, who was formerly United States Consul at Catania, Italy, wrote to the Evening Post on Wednesday:

My personal knowledge of St. Clair has been the personification of integrity, manufacturing or suppressing charges against him as a friendship dictated. Keeping his enemies through and through, his friends who, if the laws were enforced, in many cases would be serving out terms in State prison.

Such scandals as that of the late Consul Rider at Copenhagen, within a year, could never have happened with a reliable man at the head of the consular service. Any one familiar with consular matters knew that Rider had been stealing Treasury funds on false vouchers during his entire term of service of nearly twenty years, and that he should have been removed long before he acquired the habit of appropriating private trust funds passing through his hands.

There is today, to my personal knowledge, a very large number of consular clerks in the United States who are today the duty of the State Department to follow up its good work and at least get rid of them.

Mr. Heath is connected with the Helvetia Milk Condensing Company, at 108 Duane street. He was the first to be removed from office, and was asked to substantiate the charges he made regarding Consul Rider's conduct. He refused to do so, and was removed from office.

Mr. Heath had on his desk the annual report of the State Department for the year 1892. The report for the fiscal year which ended June 30, 1891, and also a list of the Department's expenditures for the year 1892. The report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year. Mr. Heath said that the report of the year 1892, Mr. Heath said, was a statement of consular fees, salaries and expenses for the year.

NEW WAYS AT COLUMBIA.

STORIES OF FRICITION IN THE FACULTY SAID TO BE EXAGGERATED.

Annual Complaint that President Low is Harassment the College and Disappearing with the Faculty.

Since the Hon. Seth Low has been President of Columbia College, he has made great many changes in the manner of instruction and in general discipline. A great many professors have been appointed, and some of the older ones have resigned. Greatest of all the changes was that in the Law School, where old Prof. Dwight, who founded the school, retired, and his assistants followed him. His place was taken by Prof. Keener of Harvard. There was a good deal of talk about this time, but it was gradually dying out. The resignation of Prof. Quackenbush, Professor of Rhetoric, last week, revived it. There were rumors that the resignation was due to friction with the faculty, and that he was to be followed by others, and that new and younger men would take the places of the older men. The SUN has received many letters of complaint, of which the following is a sample:

Young President Low's policy (despicable to the ordinary student) of appointing professors and filling up his faculty with obscure and mediocre men, has not been carried out without protest, and plenty of it.

The spectacle of this venerable and conservative institution, with the largest average revenue of any American college, and so inseparably connected with the prestige of this great metropolis, its faculty at present consisting of mediocrities, story writers, and men of no account, is a disgrace to the city and to the country. It is a disgrace to the city and to the country. It is a disgrace to the city and to the country.

One of the alumni of the university who keeps close track of affairs was seen in the SUN yesterday. He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

NEW WAYS AT COLUMBIA.

STORIES OF FRICITION IN THE FACULTY SAID TO BE EXAGGERATED.

Annual Complaint that President Low is Harassment the College and Disappearing with the Faculty.

Since the Hon. Seth Low has been President of Columbia College, he has made great many changes in the manner of instruction and in general discipline. A great many professors have been appointed, and some of the older ones have resigned. Greatest of all the changes was that in the Law School, where old Prof. Dwight, who founded the school, retired, and his assistants followed him. His place was taken by Prof. Keener of Harvard. There was a good deal of talk about this time, but it was gradually dying out. The resignation of Prof. Quackenbush, Professor of Rhetoric, last week, revived it. There were rumors that the resignation was due to friction with the faculty, and that he was to be followed by others, and that new and younger men would take the places of the older men. The SUN has received many letters of complaint, of which the following is a sample:

Young President Low's policy (despicable to the ordinary student) of appointing professors and filling up his faculty with obscure and mediocre men, has not been carried out without protest, and plenty of it.

The spectacle of this venerable and conservative institution, with the largest average revenue of any American college, and so inseparably connected with the prestige of this great metropolis, its faculty at present consisting of mediocrities, story writers, and men of no account, is a disgrace to the city and to the country. It is a disgrace to the city and to the country. It is a disgrace to the city and to the country.

One of the alumni of the university who keeps close track of affairs was seen in the SUN yesterday. He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

He said that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body, and that the faculty was a good deal of a body.

BEST & Co. Boys In

Long Pants

Thirteen to Eighteen years, are just as well cared for in our establishment as the smaller ones—Hats, Neckwear, Gloves, Shoes, etc., in such a variety of styles that all can be correctly fitted—and a very large assortment of Suits and Spring Overcoats of our own manufacture at manufacturers' prices.

Good School and Business Suits, in Black Cheviots, Blue Serges and plain mixed Cheviots, strictly all wool and guaranteed to give satisfactory wear.

60 and 62 West 23d Street.

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

GLADSTONE Carries a Motion to Give Government Business Precedence.

LONDON, March 30.—In the House of Commons this evening Mr. Gladstone made a motion that after Easter the Government business have precedence.

Mr. Balfour, leader of the Unionists, said that the motion was calculated to deprive private members of their rights, and would be resisted by the opposition. It was unprecedented for the Government to claim so early in the session the whole time of the House, and there was nothing so extraordinary in the present situation as to warrant such a proceeding.

Mr. Goschen, Chancellor of the Exchequer in the last Salisbury Cabinet, suggested that Mr. Gladstone's motion be narrowed so as to give precedence only to the Government's Irish business. Sir William Harcourt, Chancellor of the Exchequer, replied that the Government could not limit to such a proposal. The motion as made was the least the Government would accept.

William J. Jackson, Chief Secretary for Ireland in the last Cabinet, said that the Government apparently was inaugurating a parliamentary policy of accumulation. The Minister, instead of leading the House, plainly intended to keep it in a state of confusion. He was unable to give one good reason for calling the rights of private members, as was the case in the last Parliament, to the aid of an amendment that the operation of the motion be limited to the period between Easter and the beginning of the summer recess.

After this amendment had been rejected by a vote of 172 to 85, other amendments were proposed and opposed at length, until the Government moved and carried the closure. The vote on Mr. Gladstone's motion was 163 to 65.

SELLING ARMS TO BEHIND.

Gen. Dods Expels German Traders from Dahomey.

PARIS, March 30.—La Politique Coloniale publishes a series of decrees issued by Gen. Dods, commander of the French forces in Dahomey. The decrees are calculated to expel the German traders from the French colony. The Dahomey port, a warehouse belonging to the firm of Volber & Brohm of Hamburg, on the ground that the firm had been selling arms and ammunition to the deposed King, Liekehan, to enable him to carry on war against the French, was closed. The firm was ordered to leave the colony within ten days. The firm was ordered to leave the colony within ten days.

There are no factions and there is no friction. So far as the college is concerned, the Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college.

There are no factions and there is no friction. So far as the college is concerned, the Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college.

There are no factions and there is no friction. So far as the college is concerned, the Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college.

There are no factions and there is no friction. So far as the college is concerned, the Quackenbushes have been forced out of the college. The Quackenbushes have been forced out